

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/633,958		08/04/2003	Hye Suk Chi	RPS920030032US1	5183
47052	7590	08/31/2005		EXAM	INER
SAWYER PO BOX 51		ROUP LLP	FERGUSON,	FERGUSON, MARISSA L	
PALO ALTO, CA 94303				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2854	
				DATE MAILED: 08/31/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summan	10/633,958	CHI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Marissa L. Ferguson	2854					
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirt- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed ((30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u>3 May 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ 1	This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-47</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 1-47 is/are rejected.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 August 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	re: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ob the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docum application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	ents have been received. Lents have been received in A Driority documents have been Leau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	ummary (PTO-413)						
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3,5-12,14-17,19,20-22,24-28,30,32-42 and 43-47 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callendrier (US 6,122,978) in view of Matsuda et al. (US Patent 2002/0020959).

Regarding claims 1,10,20,32-34,40-42, 46 and 47, Callendrier teaches an apparatus and method comprising a mounting arrangement (Column 5, Lines 36-40 and Figure 2) and at least one cantilevered roller shaft (20) comprises a distal end and a proximal end for advancing a document (10), wherein the proximal end is coupled to the frame of such that the distal end floats (As shown in Figure 1) and the at least one cantilevered roller shaft is supported only at one end (Figure 1). However, he does not explicitly disclose a bearing coupled to at least one cantilevered roller shaft and a spring coupled to the frame and the bearing. Matsuda et al. teaches an apparatus and methods for feeding sheets with a cantilevered roller (3,4) with a bearing (10) coupled to the shaft (7) and a spring coupled to a plate (element 9a can be referred to as a frame).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention as taught by Callendrier to include

Art Unit: 2854

a bearing and spring as taught by Matsuda et al., since Matsuda et al. teaches that it is advantageous to provide a stable/supported relationship as a biasing force acts upon the shaft.

2. Regarding claims 2,6,7,8,12,15-17,22,26-28,35-39 and 43-45, Callendrier teaches the claimed invention and method with the exception of a supported end of the at least one cantilevered roller shaft is supported at two support locations located outside a document path, wherein the document can be appropriately fed and a second cantilevered roller shaft coupled to a frame and wherein a second unsupported end of the second cantilever roller supported at two support locations located outside a document path, wherein the document can be appropriately fed.

Matsuda et al. teaches an apparatus and method, wherein a supported end of the at least one cantilevered roller shaft is supported at two support locations (shaft is supported at main body 5 and supported at plate 9) located outside a document path, wherein the document can be appropriately fed and a second cantilevered roller shaft (7 and Page 3, Paragraph 0052) coupled to the frame (5) and supported at two support locations (shaft is supported at main body 5 and supported at plate 9) located outside a document path, wherein the document can be appropriately fed.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention as taught by Callendrier to include a cantilever roller supported at two locations and a second cantilever roller as taught by Matsuda et al., since Matsuda et al. teaches that it is advantageous to provide a stable feeding device.

Art Unit: 2854

- 3. Regarding claims 3,11 and 21, Callendrier teaches an apparatus and method, wherein a need for a rigid frame that directly supports the unsupported end is eliminated (Figure 1).
- 4. Regarding claims 5,9,14,19,24 and 30, Callendrier teaches the claimed invention and method with the exception of at least one gimbal roller coupled to a cantilever shaft and coupled to a second roller shaft. Matsuda et al. teaches a gimbal roller (3) that is coupled to the at least one cantilevered roller shaft (shaft supporting feed roller 3 and Page 3, Paragraph 0050) and a gimbal roller (4) coupled to a second roller shaft (7). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention as taught by Callendrier to include a gimbal roller as taught by Matsuda et al., since Matsuda et al. teaches that it is advantageous to provide proper alignment with a roller shaft.
- 5. Regarding claims 25 and 31, Call drier teaches the claimed method and invention with the exception of a drive device coupled to the frame and wherein the drive device rotates at least one shaft. Matsuda et al. teaches a drive device (Pages 1 and 2, Paragraphs 0013 and 0014) that rotates a shaft and is coupled to a frame. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention as taught by Callendrier to include a drive device as taught by Matsuda et al., since Matsuda et al. teaches that it is advantageous to provide an efficient power source to properly advance documents.
- 6. Claims 4,13,18,23 and 29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Callendrier (US 6,122,978) in view of Matsuda et al. (US Patent

Application/Control Number: 10/633,958

Art Unit: 2854

2002/0020959) as applied to claim 1,10 and 20 above, and further in view of Applicant Admitted Prior Art ("AAPA")

Callendrier and Matsuda et al. both teach the claimed apparatus and method with the exception of a frame comprising a main portion and front portion. AAPA teaches a printer with a front portion (54) and a main portion (56, Page 2, Lines 15-16 and Figure 2). It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the invention as taught by Matsuda et al. to include a main portion and front portion of a printer as taught by AAPA, since AAPA teaches that it is advantageous to provide a stable and a reliable feeding device.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-47 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marissa L Ferguson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2163. The examiner can normally be reached on (M-T) 6:30am-4:00pm and every other (F) 7:30am-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/633,958

Art Unit: 2854

,958 Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Marissa L Ferguson

Examiner

Art Unit 2854

111 AT

REN YAN PRIMARY EXAMINER